# Existentially closed models of the theory of differential fields with a cyclic automorphism

Makoto Yanagawa

University of Tsukuba

September 15, 2014

## Motivation

Let *C* be any field and choose an arbitrary element  $q \in C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\Bbbk_0$  denote the prime field included in *C*, and set  $\Bbbk = \Bbbk_0(q)$ , the subfield of *C* generated by *q* over  $\Bbbk_0$ .

Definition. The *q*-integer, the *q*-factorial and *q*-binomial, respectively, denotes

$$[k]_{q} = \frac{q^{k} - 1}{q - 1}, \ [0]_{q} = 0,$$
  
$$[k]_{q}! = [k]_{q}[k - 1]_{q} \cdots [1]_{q}, \ [0]_{q}! = 1,$$
  
$$\binom{m}{n}_{q} = \frac{[m]_{q}!}{[n]_{q}![m - n]_{q}!},$$

where  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \ge n$ .

Suppose that R is a field containing  $\mathbb{k}(t)$  and  $\sigma_q : R \to R$  is a ring automorphism such that it is an extension of the q-difference operator  $f(t) \mapsto f(qt)$  on  $\mathbb{k}(t)$ .

Definition (C.Hardouin). We say that a sequence  $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of maps on R is an iterative q-difference operator on R if it satisfies the following condition:

1. 
$$\delta_{R}^{(0)} = \mathrm{id}_{R}$$
,  
2.  $\delta_{R}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(q-1)t}(\sigma_{q} - \mathrm{id}_{R})$ ,  
3.  $\delta_{R}^{(k)}(x+y) = \delta_{R}^{(k)}(x) + \delta_{R}^{(k)}(y)$ ,  $x, y \in R$ ,  
4.  $\delta_{R}^{(k)}(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sigma_{q}^{i} \circ \delta_{R}^{j}(x) \delta_{R}^{(i)}(y)$ ,  $x, y \in R$ ,  
5.  $\delta_{R}^{(i)} \circ \delta_{R}^{(j)} = {i+j \choose i}_{q} \delta_{R}^{(i+j)}$ 

Remark. Assume that q is not a root of unity. Then,

$$[k]_q=1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k-1}\neq 0$$

for all k > 0. If  $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an iterative *q*-difference operator on *R*, conditions 1, 2 and 5 above require

$$\delta_R^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(q-1)t} (\sigma_q - \mathrm{id}_R), \quad \delta_R^{(k)} = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} (\delta_R^{(1)})^k, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Conversely, if we define  $\delta_R^{(k)}$  by above, then  $\delta_R^* = (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms an iterative *q*-difference operator on *R*. Therefore under the assumption, an iterative *q*-difference ring is nothing but a difference field  $(R, \sigma_q)$ .

Motivation		

From now on, we assume q is a root of unity of order N > 1.

#### Fact (Masuoka and Y., 2013).

- 1. For any iterative q-difference field  $(R, (\delta_R^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$ , the q-difference operator  $\sigma_q$  on R is of order N, that is  $\sigma_R^N = \mathrm{id}_R$ .
- 2. There is the smallest iterative q-difference field k(t).

Motivation		

Theorem (Masuoka and Y., 2013). There is a functor

 $\mathcal{F}: \{\mathsf{IqD-fields}\} \to \{\mathsf{models} \text{ of } DF_{\sigma}\}$ 

and satisfies the following properties:

- 1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is a strictly embedding,
- 2. for any model  $(R, \sigma)$  of  $DF_{\sigma}$  there is  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(R)$  whenever  $R \supset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{k}(t))$  and  $\sigma^{N} = \mathrm{id}_{R}$ , and
- 3.  ${\mathcal F}$  has a good property for model theory.

Motivation		

 $DF_{C_N}$  denotes the theory  $DF_{\sigma} \cup \{ \forall x(\sigma^N(x) = x) \}.$ 

Corollary. Suppose that q is a root of unity of order N > 1. Then the theory IqDF and the theory  $DF_{C_N} \cup \text{Diag}(\mathcal{F}(\Bbbk(t)))$  have same model theoretical property.

To study IqDF, first, to study about  $DF_{C_N}$ .

Question. Does the theory  $DF_{C_N}$  admit a model companion?

Introduction	

## Introduction

Definition. Let K be a field and  $\delta$  be a additive map on K. We say that  $(K, \delta)$  is a differential field if  $\delta$  satisfies the Leibnitz rule:

$$\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$$
, for all  $a, b \in K$ .

The language of differential fields, denoted by  $L_{\delta}$ , is the language of rings with a new unary function symbol  $\delta$ . *DF* denotes the theory of differential fields (of characteristic 0) in the language  $L_{\delta}$ .

Motivation Introduction Some results References

Suppose that T is a theory in a language L.  $L_{\sigma}$  denotes the language L with a new unary function symbol  $\sigma$ . We consider the theory

 $T_{\sigma} = T \cup "\sigma$  is an automorphism".

Example. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(X), \delta = \frac{d}{dX}$ , and  $\sigma(X) = X + 1$ . Then  $\blacktriangleright K \models Fld$ ,  $\flat (K, \delta) \models DF$ ,  $\flat (K, \sigma) \models Fld_{\sigma}$ , and  $\flat (K, \delta, \sigma) \models DF_{\sigma}$ .

Introduction	

## Model companion

Let T be a theory in a language L.

A model M of T is existentially closed if for any extension  $N \models T$  of M and quantifier-free formula  $\varphi(x)$  over M,

if 
$$N \models \exists x \varphi(x)$$
 then  $M \models \exists \varphi(x)$ .

Definition. Suppose that T is a  $\forall \exists$ -theory. We say that T admits a model companion if the class

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ M \models T \mid M \text{ is existentially closed.} \}$$

is elementary.

#### Fact.

- 1. (Tarski) *Fld* admits a model companion.  $\rightarrow ACF$ .
- 2. (Robinson) DF admits a model companion.  $\rightarrow$  DCF
- 3. (Macintyre)  $Fld_{\sigma}$  admits a model companion.  $\rightarrow ACFA$ .
- 4. (Hrushovski)  $DF_{\sigma}$  admits a model companion.  $\rightarrow$  DCFA

Example. The theory of groups does not admit model companion.

Remark. The automorphism  $\sigma$  of any model of ACFA (or DCFA) does not have finite order.

Suppose that  $(K, \sigma) \models ACFA$ . Let n(> 0) be a natural number. We define  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $K(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\sigma}(X_i) &= X_{i+1} \quad (i < n), \\ \widetilde{\sigma}(X_{n+1}) &= X_1, \\ \widetilde{\sigma}|_{\mathcal{K}} &= \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(K(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}), \tilde{\sigma})$  is a model of  $Fld_{\sigma}$  extending  $(K, \sigma)$ and  $K(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) \models \exists x \bigwedge_{0 < i < n+1} \sigma^i(x) \neq x.$ 

# Some results

Existentially closed models of the theory of differential fields with a cyclic automorphism

	Some results	

## First approach

We want to construct an existentially closed model of  $DF_{C_N}$ , where  $DF_{C_N}$  is  $DF_{\sigma} \cup \{ \forall x (\sigma^N(x) = x) \}$ .

Let  $(K, \delta, \sigma)$  be a model of *DCFA*.

We consider the fixed field

$$\mathsf{K}^{\langle \sigma^{\mathsf{N}} \rangle} := \{ \mathsf{a} \in \mathsf{K} \mid \sigma^{\mathsf{N}}(\mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{a} \}$$

of  $\sigma^N$  in K. Then  $(K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}, \delta|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}}, \sigma|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}})$  is naturally a model of  $DF_{C_N}$ , since  $\sigma \circ \delta = \delta \circ \sigma$  in K.

	Some results	

Question. Is 
$$(K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}, \delta|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}}, \sigma|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}})$$
 an existentially closed model of  $DF_{C_N}$ ?

Answer. I don't know, but it has the property close to existentially closed.

Suppose that  $(F, \delta, \sigma)$  is an extension of  $(K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}, \delta|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}}, \sigma|_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}})$ and  $\varphi(x)$  is a quantifier-free formula over  $K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}$  such that

> $(F, \delta', \sigma') \models \exists x \varphi(x).$  $K \otimes_{\kappa \langle \sigma^N \rangle} F$ Κ  $K^{\langle \sigma^N}$

We can define derivation and difference on  $K \otimes_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}} F$ . We assume  $K \otimes_{K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle}} F$  is an integral domain. Then there is a model K' of  $DF_{\sigma}$  such that

$$K \subset K'$$
 and  $K' \models \exists x (\varphi(x) \land \sigma^N(x) = x).$ 

Since K is existentially closed,  $K \models \exists x (\varphi(x) \land \sigma^N(x) = x)$ . This means  $K^{\langle \sigma^N \rangle} \models \exists x \varphi(x)$ .

Probrem. Is  $K \otimes_{\kappa \langle \sigma^N \rangle} F$  always an integral domain?

In my opinion, there is little possibility that the answer is yes.

# Second approach

We will modify N.Sjögren's argument in "The Model Theory of Field with a Group action". In this paper, he show the following theorem:

Theorem (N.Sjögren, 2005).  $Fld_{C_N}$  admits a model companion.

More precisely, a model  $(K, \sigma)$  of  $Fld_{C_N}$  is existentially closed iff it holds the following properties

- 1. K and  $K^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$  are pseudo-algebraically closed,
- 2.  $\operatorname{Gal}(K \cap (K^{\langle \sigma \rangle})^{alg}/K^{\langle \sigma \rangle}) \simeq C_N(\simeq \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}),$
- 3.  $\operatorname{Gal}((K^{\langle \sigma \rangle})^{\operatorname{alg}}/K^{\langle \sigma \rangle}) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{alg}}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_N$

Remark. To prove  $\Rightarrow$ , We need some knowledge of pro-finite group. To prove  $\Leftarrow$ , on the other hand, the following lemma is essentially:

Lemma. The above conditions 2 and 3 imply that  $(K, \sigma)$  has no algebraic  $C_N$ -field extension.

	Some results	

#### (Sketch of proof of $\Leftarrow$ )

- Suppose K' be a extension of K and φ(x) := "f(x) = 0" is an L<sub>σ</sub>(K)-formula such that K' ⊨ f(a) = 0 for some a ∈ K'.
- ▶ Since  $\sigma$  is of order N, there is finite tuple  $b \in K$  such that  $K = K^{\langle \sigma \rangle}(b)$  and  $K' = K'^{\langle \sigma \rangle}(b)$
- We write  $a_i = \sum_j c_{ij} b_j$ , and consider V = V(c/K).
- ► Since K has no algebraic G-extension, V is absolutely irreducible, so V has K-rational point c'.
- ▶ We put  $a'_i = \sum_j c'_{ij} b_j \in K$ , then  $K \models f(a') = 0$ .

	Some results	

By modifying the Sjögre's proof, we can prove the following result.

Lemma. Suppose that  $(K, \delta, \sigma)$  is an existentially closed model of  $DF_{C_N}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- 1. K and  $K^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$  are pseudo-differentially closed,
- 2.  $C_{\mathcal{K}} = \{a \in \mathcal{K} \mid \delta(a) = 0\}$  and  $C_{\mathcal{K}^{\langle \sigma \rangle}} = (C_{\mathcal{K}})^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$  are pseudo-algebraically closed.

### Comments.

- 1. If the property "one has no differentially algebraic  $C_N$ -field extension" is possible to imply from the common first-order property among existentially closed models of  $DF_{C_N}$ , then (I think that) it is possible to prove that  $DF_{C_N}$  admits a model companion.
- 2. To do this, we need more knowledge of differential Galois group. (However, I luck it now...)

# References

- 1. C.Hardouin, *Iterative q-difference Galois theory*, J.Reine Angrew. Math.644, 2010
- A.Masuoka and M.Yanagawa, ×<sub>R</sub>-bialgebras associated with iterative q-differencerings, International Journal of Mathematics 24, 2013.
- N.Sjögen, The Model Theory of Fields with a Group Action, Research Reports in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics Stockholm University, 2005.