

Remarks on lens space surgery

Motoo Tange

December 17, 2008

Abstract

Let q be a fixed integer. We show that the set of lens spaces whose second parameter is q and which are homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$ for a knot K is finite if and only if q is a non-square number. We partially solve Teragaito's conjecture, which is that lens spaces that a Klein bottle cannot be constructed by any hyperbolic knot. Moreover, we directly show the correction term coincides with Fukumoto and Furuta's w -invariant by using a correction term formula, in an appendix. This coincidence has been shown by M. Ue recently.

1 Introduction

In this paper we define $L(p, q)$ to be $S_{-p/q}^3(U)$, which is $-p/q$ -Dehn surgery of the unknot $U \subset S^3$. For any lens space $L(p, q)$ we call the parameters p and q the *first parameter* and the *second parameter* respectively. Throughout this paper we assume that the first parameter p is always positive. When a lens space $L(p, q)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$ for a knot K , we say that K admits negative lens surgery (or simply lens surgery).

P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, P. Ozsváth, and Z. Szabó in [6] have shown that if $L(p, 1)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$ for a knot K , then K must be isotopic to the unknot. J. Rasmussen in [13] proved that if $L(p, 2)$ or $L(p, 3)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$ for a knot K then the lens space must be $L(7, 2)$, $L(11, 3)$, or $L(13, 3)$. The author proved in the previous paper [12] that if $L(p_1, -1)$, $L(p_2, -2)$, or $L(p_3, -3)$ is obtained by a Dehn surgery of a knot K in S^3 , then the p_i 's ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfy $p_1 = 2, 5$, $p_2 = 3, 9, 11$, or $p_3 = 4, 7, 13, 14, 19$. Combining this classification with the result in [5] we can prove that the knots K which yield $L(p, -1)$ are either the unknot and $p = 2$ or the trefoil knot and $p = 5$. If q is a square number r^2 , then there exist infinitely many lens spaces $L(rs \pm 1, r^2)$ each of which is $-(rs \pm 1)$ -surgery of the (r, s) -torus knot (where $r, s > 0$). In Section 3 we shall show the converse proposition.

Theorem 1.1 *Let q be an integer. The set $\{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid L(p, q) = S_{-p}^3(K) \text{ for a knot } K\}$ is an infinite set if and only if q is a square of an integer.*

R. Fintushel and R. Stern's theorem in [3] says that if a Dehn surgery over a homology sphere yields a lens space, then q is always the quadratic residue mod p . In Theorem 1.1 we may assume that the condition $0 \leq |q| < p$ is satisfied. Here x is said to be *reduced positively* (or *negatively*) by mod p if x is $0 \leq x < p$ (or $-p < x \leq 0$). This theorem contains the assertion that the lens spaces which have negative second parameters and which are obtained by negative Dehn surgery are finite.

The result in [6] showed that the lens space $L(2, 1)$, which includes the non-orientable surface \mathbb{RP}^2 , can be obtained by the unknot only. M. Teragaito in [15] determined genus one knots which yield manifolds containing a Klein bottle. He has also conjectured that lens spaces containing a Klein bottle cannot be constructed from Dehn surgery of any hyperbolic knot.

Conjecture 1.1 ([14]) *If a lens space obtained by Dehn surgery of a knot contains a Klein bottle, then the knot is non-hyperbolic.*

K. Ichihara and T. Saito in [11] have solved this conjecture in the case of doubly primitive knots. The notion of doubly primitive knots is defined in [1]. It is conjectured that doubly primitive knots are all knots admitting lens surgery. We shall prove the following theorem in Section 4.

Theorem 1.2 *If a lens space obtained by negative or positive Dehn surgery of a knot contains a Klein bottle, then the lens space is either $L(4, \pm 1)$, $L(16, \pm 7)$ or $L(20, \pm 9)$.*

These lens spaces are the same as ones obtained in [11]. But the author does not know whether these lens spaces, except $L(4, \pm 1)$, can be obtained from non-doubly primitive, hyperbolic knots.

As the appendix we will show that the correction term by P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, using the formulae proven in [12], coincides with the theta divisor invariant [10] by P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó and the w -invariant [16] by Y. Fukumoto and M. Furuta for all lens spaces.

2 The computations of correction term and lens surgery

We shall review Heegaard Floer homology and correction terms of lens spaces and results in [12]. We define the notations used here. The floor function $[\alpha]$ is the largest integer less than or equal to α . The bracket $[\beta]_p$ stands for the positive reduction of $\beta \bmod p$. We denote by x' the inverse of $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and always reduce x' positively as $\bmod p$.

Let Y be an oriented closed 3-manifold. P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó have defined in [8] Heegaard Floer homologies $HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, $HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ and $HF^-(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, which are topological invariants with respect to spin^c -structure \mathfrak{s} . They also gave the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow HF^-(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow HF^-(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Moreover, for a rational homology sphere Y they introduced a grading of these homologies as in [7]. The *correction term* $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is defined to be the minimal grading of the image $HF^\infty(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} HF^+(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. If Y is a lens space, then we can identify $\text{Spin}^c(Y)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This is called the canonical ordering in [7] and is determined by genus one Heegaard decomposition of the lens space. For any integer i the correction term $d(L(p, q), i)$ can be computed by using the following recursive formula for $0 \leq i < p + q$ in [7]:

$$d(L(p, q), i) = \frac{pq - (2i + 1 - p - q)^2}{4pq} - d(L(q, r), j),$$

where $0 < q < p$, $r := [p]_q$ and $j := [i]_q$ are satisfied.

On the other hand, the author defined the non-recursive formula of $d(L(p, q), i)$ in [12] as follows:

$$d(L(p, q), i) = -3s(q, p) + \frac{1-p}{2p} + \frac{[i]_p}{p} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\left(\frac{q'j}{p} \right) \right), \quad (1)$$

$$((\alpha)) := \begin{cases} \alpha - [\alpha] - \frac{1}{2} & \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

where $s(q, p)$ is the Dedekind sum.

For each pair of four positive integers p, q, h , and k we denote $\Phi_{p,q}^k(h)$ by

$$\Phi_{p,q}^k(h) := \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} \mid 0 < [qj - k]_p \leq h\},$$

where h and h' are positively reduced integers satisfying $hh' = 1 \bmod p$. The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ of K is symmetrized as $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_K(t^{-1})$ and the i -th coefficient of $\Delta_K(t)$ is denoted by $a_i(K)$. We put $\tilde{a}_i(K) := \sum_{j \equiv i \pmod p} a_j(K)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([12]) *Suppose that a lens space $L(p, q)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$. Then there exists an integer $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ satisfying $h^2 = q \pmod p$ such that*

$$\tilde{a}_i(K) = -m + \Phi_{p,q}^{hi+c}(h)$$

holds for any i , where $c := \frac{(h+1+p)(h-1)}{2}$ and $m = \frac{hh'-1}{p}$.

The h has four choices among $\{h, h', p-h, p-h'\}$. If we replace h with one of them, then the same formula with respect to the new choice holds. We will prove the following proposition. The second equality was also proven in [12].

Proposition 2.2 *Let p and q be a pair of coprime integers with $0 < q < p$. Suppose that the integer $0 < h < p$ is one of the solutions to $x^2 = q \pmod p$. Let w be the integer with $qh' = h + pw$. Then we have*

$$\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) = -2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] + (w+1)(h'-1). \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, suppose that the integer $0 < h < p$ is one of the solutions to $x^2 = -q \pmod p$. Let w be the integer with $qh' + h = pw$. Then we have

$$\Phi_{p,-q}^{-1}(h) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] - (w-1)(h'-1). \quad (3)$$

Proof. We prove the first part of the proposition. Let w be the integer with $qh' = h + pw$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) &= \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} \mid 0 \leq [qj]_p < h\} \\ &= \left[\frac{h}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{0}{q} \right] + \left[\frac{h+p}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{p}{q} \right] + \dots + \left[\frac{h+pw}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{pw}{q} \right] - 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^w \left(\left[\frac{h+pj}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] \right) - 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^w \left(\left[h' - \frac{p(w-j)}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] \right) - 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^w \left(h' - \left[\frac{p(w-j)}{q} \right] - 1 - \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] \right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] + (w+1)(h'-1). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the second part. Let w be the integer with $qh' + h = pw$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p,-q}^{-1}(h) &= \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} \mid 0 \leq [-qj]_p < h\} \\ &= \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} \mid p-h < [qj]_p\} \\ &= \left[\frac{p}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{p-h}{q} \right] + \left[\frac{2p}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{2p-h}{q} \right] + \dots + \left[\frac{p(w-1)}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{p(w-1)-h}{q} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left(\left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{pj-h}{q} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left(\left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] - \left[\frac{qh' - p(w-j)}{q} \right] \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] - (w-1)(h'-1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let a be the quotient of p divided by q and b the remainder. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] + (w+1)(h'-1) \\
&= -2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[a_j + \frac{bj}{q} \right] + (w+1)(h'-1) \\
&= -aw(w+1) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[\frac{bj}{q} \right] + (w+1)(h'-1) \\
&= -aw(w+1) + (w+1)h' + \alpha_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1 = -2 \sum_{j=1}^w \left[\frac{bj}{q} \right] - w - 1$. In the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{p,-q}^{-1}(h) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[\frac{pj}{q} \right] - (w-1)(h'-1) \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[a_j + \frac{bj}{q} \right] - (w-1)(h'-1) \\
&= aw(w-1) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[\frac{bj}{q} \right] - (w-1)(h'-1) \\
&= aw(w-1) - (w-1)h' + \alpha_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{w-1} \left[\frac{bj}{q} \right] + (w-1)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the cases where the fixed parameter q is positive reduction and q is negative reduction separately.

Lemma 3.1 *Let q be a fixed positive integer. Then the number of the lens spaces whose second parameters are q and which are obtained by a negative $(-p)$ -Dehn surgery is finite, unless q is a square number.*

Proof. Let q be a fixed integer. We just have to consider lens spaces satisfying $0 < q < p$, where p is the first parameter and q is the second parameter, because the choices of p are clearly finite otherwise. Suppose that the lens space $L(p, q)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$. Then by Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 there exist integers h, c, m, w such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K) &= -m + \Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) \\
&= -m - aw(w+1) + (w+1)h' + \alpha_1.
\end{aligned}$$

From the bound $|a_i(K)| \leq 1$ in [9] and the genus bound $2g(K) - 1 \leq p$ in [6], $\tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K)$ has $-1, 0, 1$ or 2 . We set $\alpha_3 := q(\alpha_1 - \tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K))$ for the same parameter α_1 as in Section 2. By the definition the value α_3 is bounded for the fixed integer q . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
mq &= -aqw(w+1) + (w+1)h'q + \alpha_3 \\
&= -(p-b)w(w+1) + (w+1)(pw+h) + \alpha_3 \\
&= (w+1)h + bw(w+1) + \alpha_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha_4 := bw(w+1) + \alpha_3$. Thus we have

$$mqp = (w+1)hp + \alpha_4p.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of m and w , we have

$$\begin{aligned} mqp &= q(hh' - 1) \\ &= h(pw + h) - q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain a quadratic equation $h^2 - hp - \alpha_4p - q = 0$, and the discriminant of the quadratic equation is $(p + 2\alpha_4)^2 - 4(\alpha_4^2 - q)$. This value must be a square number X^2 . Now suppose that q is not a square number, in particular $q \neq \alpha_4^2$. Then the solutions (p, X) to the equation $(p + 2\alpha_4)^2 - 4(\alpha_4^2 - q) = X^2$ are finite. Therefore the choices of p are finite, unless q is a square number. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let $-q$ be a fixed negative integer. Then the number of lens spaces whose second parameters are $-q$ and which are obtained by a negative $(-p)$ -Dehn surgery is finite.*

Proof. For the same reason as in Lemma 3.1 we may assume that $0 < q < p$. Suppose that the lens space $L(p, -q)$ is homeomorphic to $S_{-p}^3(K)$. Then by Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, there exist integers h, c, m, w such that

$$\tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K) = -m + aw(w-1) - (w-1)h' + \alpha_2.$$

Let a, b , and α_2 be the integers defined in Section 2. Setting $\alpha_5 := q(\alpha_2 - \tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K))$, we have that α_5 is bounded for a fixed integer q by the same argument as for Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} mq &= (p-b)w(w-1) - (w-1)h'q + \alpha_5 \\ &= pw(w-1) - (w-1)(pw-h) + \alpha_5 - bw(w-1) \\ &= (w-1)h + \alpha_6. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha_5 - bw(w-1)$ as α_6 , we have

$$mqp = (w-1)hp + \alpha_6p.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} mqp &= q(hh' - 1) \\ &= h(pw - h) - q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get a quadratic equation $h^2 - hp + \alpha_6p + q = 0$. The discriminant of the quadratic equation is $(p - 2\alpha_6)^2 - 4(\alpha_6^2 + q)$. This value must be a square number. Such p is bounded for a fixed q by the same reason as in Lemma 3.1. \square

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 here.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The positive q case in Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 3.1 and the negative q case follows from 3.2. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

It is known that lens spaces which contain a Klein bottle have the form of $L(4n, 2n \pm 1)$ for some integer n (see [2]).

Lemma 4.1 *If $L(4n, 2n \pm 1)$ ($n > 0$) is homeomorphic to $S_{-4n}^3(K)$ for a knot K , then such lens spaces are $L(4, 1)$, $L(20, 9)$ or $L(16, 9)$.*

Proof. Applying $p = 4n$ and $q = 2n - 1$ to Proposition 2.1, we can find the integer h satisfying the condition in Proposition 2.1. By definition of p and q we get the equality $2(q + 1) = 4n = p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Dividing $2(q + 1) = 0 \pmod{p}$ by $2h$, we have $h + h' = 0 \pmod{p}$ or $h + h' = 2n \pmod{p}$. If $h + h' = 0 \pmod{p}$, then $q = h^2 = -hh' = -1 \pmod{p}$, hence this contradicts $n > 0$. Hence we have $h + h' = 2n \pmod{p}$. By replacing, if necessary, h, h' with $p - h, p - h'$ we have $h + h' = 2n$ in \mathbb{Z} . Then $\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) = \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} | 0 < [(2n - 1)j + 1]_p \leq h\}$. The sequence $[(2n - 1)j + 1]_p$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, h'$) falls into either of the two sequences:

$$2n, 4n - 1, 2n - 2, 4n - 3, \dots, 2n - h' + 2, 4n - h' + 1,$$

or

$$2n, 4n - 1, 2n - 2, 4n - 3, \dots, 4n - h' + 2, 2n - h' + 1.$$

The sequences correspond to the even h' case and the odd h' case respectively. None of $1, 2, \dots$, and h is contained in these sequences. Hence we get $\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) = 0$. The coefficient $\tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K) = -m$ is 0 or -1 by [12]. Thus we have $m = 0$ or 1 . If $m = 0$, then $h + h' = 2n$ and $hh' = 1$. This implies $n = 1$. If $m = 1$, then $h + h' = 2n$ and $hh' = 4n + 1$. This implies $n = 5$.

Second, applying $p = 4n$ and $q = 2n + 1$ to Proposition 2.1, we can find the integer h satisfying the condition in Proposition 2.1. By definition of p and q we get $2(q - 1) = 4n = p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We have $h' - h = 2n$ and $0 < h < 2n$ by the same argument as above. Then we have $\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) = \#\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\} | 0 < [(2n + 1)j + 1]_p \leq h\}$. If h' is even, then the sequence $[(2n + 1)j + 1]_p$ is the following:

$$[2n + 2]_p, 3, [2n + 4]_p, 5 \dots, [2n + h']_p, h' + 1.$$

Renumbering the sequence, we have

$$3, 5, 7, \dots, h' + 1, 2n + 2, 2n + 4, \dots, 4n - 2, 0, 2, 4, \dots, h.$$

Then the intersection with $1, 2, \dots, h$ is

$$\{2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, h\}.$$

If h' is odd, then the sequence $[(2n + 1)j + 1]_p$ is the following:

$$[2n + 2]_p, 3, [2n + 4]_p, 5 \dots, h', [2n + h' + 1]_p.$$

Renumbering the sequence, we have

$$3, 5, 7, \dots, h', 2n + 2, 2n + 4, \dots, 4n - 2, 0, 2, 4, \dots, h + 1.$$

Then the intersection with $1, 2, \dots, h$ is

$$\{2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, h\}.$$

Hence in the both cases $\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}(h) = h - 1$. The coefficient $\tilde{a}_{-h'c-h'}(K) = -m + h - 1$ is 0 or -1 by [12]. Thus we have $m = h - 1$ or $m = h$. If $m = h$, then $h' - h = 2n$ and $hh' = 4nh + 1$. This implies $n = 0$. If $m = h - 1$, then $h' - h = 2n$ and $hh' = 4n(h - 1) + 1$. This implies $n = 4$.

Similarly, when $L(4n, 2n \pm 1) = S_p^3(K)$ for a knot K , such lens spaces are $L(4, 3)$, $L(20, 11)$, and $L(16, 7)$. \square

Lens spaces $L(4, \pm 1)$, $L(20, \pm 9)$, and $L(16, \pm 7)$ can be constructed by torus knots. It is an open problem whether these lens spaces are obtained by Dehn surgery of knots other than torus knots.

Appendix: the representation of the correction term by trigonometric functions

In this appendix we show that the theta divisor invariant and the w -invariant coincide with the correction term for any lens space, by using Formula (1). Here we introduce the following well-known formulae:

$$\left(\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\zeta}' \left(\frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \zeta^{\mu}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$s(h, k) = -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{(\zeta^h - 1)(\zeta - 1)} + \frac{k-1}{4k}, \quad (5)$$

where the summation with the prime $'$ means that ζ runs over complex numbers satisfying $\zeta^k = 1$ and $\zeta \neq 1$.

By using Formula (4) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} -2s(q, p) - \frac{1-p}{2p} + \frac{i}{p} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\left(\frac{q'j}{p} \right) \right) &= \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)} + \frac{i}{p} - \frac{2}{p} \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{\zeta}' \left(\frac{1}{1-\zeta} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \zeta^{q'j} \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)} + \frac{i}{p} - \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{1-\zeta^q} \sum_{j=1}^i \zeta^j + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{\zeta}' \zeta^j \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)} + \frac{i}{p} - \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{\zeta(\zeta^i - 1)}{(1-\zeta^q)(\zeta - 1)} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^i (-1) \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{(1 + \zeta^{i+1} - \zeta)}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, using the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1-\zeta}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)} &= \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{1}{1-\zeta} \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

from (6) and (7) we have

$$-2s(q, p) + \frac{1-p}{2p} + \frac{i}{p} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\left(\frac{q'j}{p} \right) \right) = \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{\zeta^{i+1}}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)}.$$

Thus from Formula (1),

$$\begin{aligned} d(L(p, q), i) &= -s(q, p) + \frac{2}{p} \sum_{\zeta}' \frac{\zeta^{i+1}}{(\zeta^q - 1)(\zeta - 1)} \\ &= -s(q, p) - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} e^{(i - \frac{q-1}{2}) \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p} \ell} \operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{\pi\ell}{p}\right) \operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{q\pi\ell}{p}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4p} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \left(\cot\left(\frac{\pi\ell}{p}\right) \cot\left(\frac{q\pi\ell}{p}\right) + 2 \cos \left\{ \left(i - \frac{q-1}{2} \right) \frac{2\pi}{p} \ell \right\} \operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{\pi\ell}{p}\right) \operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{q\pi\ell}{p}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

After shifting i in this equality appropriately, the right hand side coincides with the theta divisor invariant of $L(p, q)$ in [10] and moreover, it also coincides with the w -invariant by Y. Fukumoto and M. Furuta for $L(p, q)$, which was originally defined for any homology 3-sphere in [4].

Recently M. Ue showed via various eta invariant formulae that for any spherical manifold the correction term and Fukumoto and Furuta's w -invariant are the same invariant [16].

Acknowledgments

The author expresses deep gratitude to Professor M. Ue, who is the author's supervisor in Kyoto University. He thanks Professor M. Teragaito for teaching about his conjecture, reading this manuscript and giving many useful comments. This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows for Young Scientists (17-1604).

References

- [1] J. Berge, *Some knots with surgeries yielding lens spaces*, unpublished manuscript.
- [2] G. Bredon and J. W. Wood, *Non-orientable surfaces in orientable 3-manifolds*, Invent. Math. 7 (1969), 83-110.
- [3] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Constructing lens spaces by surgery on knots.*, Math. Z., 175(1):33-51, 1980.
- [4] Y. Fukumoto and M. Furuta, *Homology 3-spheres bounding acyclic 4-manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters 7, 757-756 (2000).
- [5] H. Goda and M. Teragaito, *Dehn surgeries on knots which yield lens spaces and genera of knots*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 129 (2000), no. 3, 501-515.
- [6] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, P. Ozsváth, and Z. Szabó, *Monopoles and lens space surgeries*, arXiv:math.GT/0310164.
- [7] Ozsváth Szabó, *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, Adv. Math. 173 (2003), no. 2, 179-261.
- [8] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 159 (2004), no. 3, 1027-1158.
- [9] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, *On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries*, Topology 44 (2005), 1281-1300.
- [10] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, *The theta divisor and the Casson-Walker invariant*, math.GT/0006194.
- [11] K. Ichihara and T. Saito, *Lens spaces obtainable by surgery on doubly primitive knots*, in preparation.
- [12] M. Tange, *Ozsváth-Szabó's correction term of lens surgery*, Mathematical Proceedings of Cambridge Philosophical Society, to appear.
- [13] J. Rasmussen, *Lens space surgeries and a conjecture of Goda and Teragaito*, Geometry & Topology Vol.8 (2004) 1013-1031.
- [14] M. Teragaito, *private communication*.
- [15] M. Teragaito, *Creating Klein bottles by surgery on knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), 781-794.
- [16] M. Ue, *The Fukumoto-Furuta and the Ozsváth-Szabó invariants for spherical 3-manifolds*, preprint.