

MAHLER'S Z -NUMBER AND $3/2$ NUMBER SYSTEMS

SHIGEKI AKIYAMA

ABSTRACT. We improve the results in [1] on the characterization of multiple points in rational based number system, in connection with Mahler's Z -number problem. As a by-product, we show that when $p > q^2$, there exists a positive x such that the fractional part of $x(p/q)^n$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) stays in a Cantor set (Theorem 2.5). Hausdorff dimension of the set is positive but tends to zero as $p \rightarrow \infty$ when q is fixed.

Communicated by Yann Bugeaud

1. Representations in a rational base

Let us review the result in [1]. Let p, q be coprime integers with $p > q > 1$ and consider a digit set $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Every positive integer u has a unique representation:

$$u = u_0 \frac{1}{q} + u_1 \frac{p}{q^2} + u_2 \frac{p^2}{q^3} + \dots + u_\ell \frac{p^\ell}{q^{1+\ell}}$$

with $u_i \in \mathcal{A}$. The digits u_i are successively determined by taking module p of both sides in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_q = \{z/q^n \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0\}$, the localization of \mathbb{Z} by q . Following the convention of decimal expression, we write $u = u_\ell u_{\ell-1} \dots u_1 u_0$ and identify with the word in \mathcal{A}^* . The set of words which represent positive integers is denoted by $L_{p/q} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$. Then the set $L_{p/q}$ is not even context free since no infinite repetition is allowed but 0^∞ . However the odometer is given by an automaton. A positive real number x not greater than a given constant $\theta = \theta(p/q) > 1$ has a representation in a form:

$$x = x_{-1} \frac{1}{p} + x_{-2} \frac{q}{p^2} + \dots + x_{-\ell} \frac{q^\ell}{p^{1+\ell}} + \dots = .x_{-1} x_{-2} \dots$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A63, 11K16, 11K38.

Keywords: Irregularity of distribution, number system, Z -number.

with the property that $x_{-1}x_{-2}\dots x_{-m} \in L_{p/q}$ for all positive integers m . This $\theta(p/q)$ corresponds to the maximal word $W(0)$ by the notation of [1] and is explicitly written as:

$$\theta\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{qG_{i+1}}{p} - G_i\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^i$$

with $G_0 = 0$ and $G_{i+1} = \lfloor (pG_i + p - 1)/q \rfloor$. For any real number x , there exists $M > 0$ that $x(q/p)^M \leq \theta(p/q)$. This means that we can expand any $x > 0$ into

$$x = x_M x_{M-1} \dots x_0 . x_{-1} x_{-2} \dots$$

using the decimal point ‘.’ in a usual manner. From the property of $L_{p/q}$, there are no eventually periodic expansions. The p/q -integer part (resp. p/q -fractional part) of x is defined to be $x_M x_{M-1} \dots x_0$. (resp. $.x_{-1} x_{-2} \dots$). We put the decimal point to distinguish them with other representations. This representation is unique but countably many exceptions.

Define $\langle x \rangle = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$, the fractional part of x . If $p \geq 2q - 1$, then there are no x which admit three different expressions and we have a good characterization of such exceptional x 's having two p/q -representations:

THEOREM 1.1 (Akiyama-Frougny-Sakarovitch [1]). *Let $p \geq 2q - 1$. Then a positive real number x has two p/q -representations if and only if there exists n_0 so that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq q-1} \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c + 1}{p} \right] \quad (1)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$. The number $k_c \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $qk_c \equiv c \pmod{p}$.

Proof. We only review an easy part, the necessity of the condition (1) for the purpose of this note. It is shown in [1] that the digit-wise difference of eventually maximal word and eventually minimal word is formally: $0^*(-q)(p-q)^\infty$ by the special feature of our representation. Therefore x has double representations if and only if x has a suffix in $\{0, \dots, q-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, since $p-1-(p-q) = q-1$. Thus there exists n_0 that for $n \geq n_0$ we can expand $(p/q)^n x = c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0 . c_{-1} c_{-2} \dots$ with $M = M(n)$ and $c_{-j} \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. We have an estimate

$$.c_{-1} c_{-2} \dots < \frac{q-1}{p-q} \leq 1.$$

Since p/q -integer parts have integer values, this inequality means that the p/q -fractional part (resp. p/q -integer part) of x coincides with the *usual* fractional part (resp. integer part) of x . Let us consider a function $f(x) = q(\lfloor xp/q \rfloor - (p/q)\lfloor x \rfloor)$. By the above claim, if x admits double expressions, then we have

$$f((p/q)^n x) = q(c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0 c_{-1} - c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0) = q \times c_{-1} = c_{-1}$$

Thus for large n , $f((p/q)^n x)$ takes values only in $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$. Note that f is a periodic function of period q and the value of $f((p/q)^n x)$ is determined by $x \pmod{q} \in \mathbb{R}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Now using $p \geq 2q-1$, it is easy to show

$$f^{-1}(\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}) = \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq q-1} \left[\frac{qk_c}{p}, \frac{q(k_c+1)}{p} \right[$$

which shows the necessity. \square

The same idea allows us to show

THEOREM 1.2. *For an integer k with $p-1 \leq k(p-q)$, if a real number x has k different p/q -representations then there exists n_0 so that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq (k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1} \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c+1}{p} \right[\quad (2)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$.

PROOF. We proceed in a similar manner as the above proof of Theorem 1.1. Only thing to note is that x has k different representations if and only if x has a suffix in $\{0, \dots, (k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1\}^*$, since $p-1 - (k-1)(p-q) = (k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1$ and $(p/q)^n x = c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0 . c_{-1} c_{-2} \dots$ for large n satisfies

$$.c_{-1} c_{-2} \dots < \frac{(k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1}{p-q} \leq 1.$$

\square

COROLLARY 1.3. *A real number has at most $1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p-2}{p-q} \right\rfloor$ different p/q -representations.*

PROOF. An inequality $1 \leq (k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1$ is necessary to have an aperiodic expansion of $x > 0$. \square

As far as we computed, there seems no triple points for any p/q -representations. Perhaps it is reasonable to pose a

CONJECTURE 1.4. *There are no positive real x so that*

$$\left\langle x \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq 2q-p-1} \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c+1}{p} \right[$$

holds for all n ,

which implies that there are no x with triple expressions when $p - 1 \leq 3(p - q)$. For e.g., if $p = 4$ and $q = 3$ then the conjecture asserts that there are no positive x such that

$$\left\langle x \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^n \right\rangle \in [0, 1/4) \cup [3/4, 1)$$

holds for all $n \geq 0$. This is also equivalent to the statement that there are no real x such that $\|x(4/3)^n\| < 1/4$ for all n , where $\|y\|$ is the distance of y from the nearest integer. Here the left endpoint of $[3/4, 1)$ can be neglected. In fact, $\langle x(4/3)^n \rangle = 3/4$ occurs only when x is rational and at most once for such a x by seeing the denominator of x . However we may substitute x by $x(4/3)^{n+1}$ in such a case. The end points usually do no harm by this trick.

2. A generalization of Mahler's Z -number

One can show stronger results than the ones in the previous section. Before stating the result, we begin with some terminologies. Let F be a finite union of half open subintervals $[a, b)$ of $[0, 1)$ and $\mu(F)$ be the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure of F . We study two sets $Z_{p/q}^+(F) = \{0 < x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x(p/q)^n \rangle \in F\}$ and $Z_{p/q}(F) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x(p/q)^n \rangle \in F\}$. In fact, our framework is much suitable for the study of $Z_{p/q}^+(F)$ but occasionally we can deduce results on $Z_{p/q}(F)$ as well. The notorious problem in this context is due to Mahler [4] whether $Z_{p/q}^+([0, 1/2))$ is empty or not. Our question is to find a small $\mu(F)$ such that $Z_{p/q}^+(F) \neq \emptyset$. For developments on the distribution of limit points of $\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle$, the reader should consult series of papers by Dubickas for e.g. [2, 3]. He also derived a large $\mu(F)$ with $Z_{p/q}(F) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1 implies that if $p \geq 2q - 1$ then there exists some F with $\mu(F) = q/p$ that $Z_{p/q}^+(F)$ is countably infinite. For e.g., using Theorem 1.1 with $p = 3$ and $q = 2$, we see $Z_{3/2}^+([0, 1/3) \cup [2/3, 1))$ is countably infinite. Thus there exists a real x 's such that $\|x(3/2)^n\| < 1/3$ for all n . As a refinement of Theorem 1.1, we have

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $p > q > 1$ with $p \geq 2q - 1$. Then a positive real number x has two p/q -representations if and only if there exists n_0 so that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq q-1} \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \frac{q-1}{p(p-q)} \right] \quad (3)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$. The number $k_c \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $qk_c \equiv c \pmod{p}$.

This Theorem implies that for $p \geq 2q - 1$, two conditions (1) and (3) are equivalent, in fact. Further, this implies that there exists a finite union of intervals F with $\mu(F) = \frac{q(q-1)}{p(p-q)}$ such that $Z_{p/q}^+(F)$ is countably infinite.

Proof. As the right hand side of (3) is narrower than (1), the sufficiency of the condition (3) is obvious. We only prove the necessity. Firstly we shall show a weaker statement. The open intervals of (3) are substituted by *closed* ones. Here the idea is to generalize the function $f(x)$ to

$$f_m(x) = q^m \left[x \frac{p^m}{q^m} \right] - p^m [x]$$

with a large integer $m(\geq 2)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Using the same idea, this function f_m has period q^m and if x is a double point then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m((p/q)^n x) &= q^m (c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0 c_{-1} \dots c_{-m} \cdot - c_M c_{M-1} \dots c_0 0^m \cdot) \\ &= q^m \times c_{-1} \dots c_{-m} \cdot = \sum_{j=1}^m p^{m-j} q^{j-1} c_{-j} \end{aligned}$$

holds for a large n . Our task is to construct concretely the inverse image of f_m . Take $k^* = k^*(c_{-1}, c_{-2}, \dots, c_{-m}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^m - 1\}$ which satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^m p^{m-j} q^{j-1} c_{-j} \equiv q^m k^* \pmod{p^m}. \quad (4)$$

By using the same proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q^m} \left(\frac{p^m}{q^m} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{(c_{-1}, \dots, c_{-m}) \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}^m} \left[\frac{k^*}{p^m}, \frac{k^* + 1}{p^m} \right[$$

for $mn \geq n_0$ where n_0 is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Multiplying q^{m-1} , we have

$$\frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p^m}{q^m} \right)^n \pmod{q^{m-1}} \in \bigcup_{(c_{-1}, \dots, c_{-m})} \left[\frac{q^{m-1} k^*}{p^m}, \frac{q^{m-1} (k^* + 1)}{p^m} \right[. \quad (5)$$

From (4), one see

$$p^{m-1} k_{c_{-1}} + \sum_{j=2}^m p^{m-j} q^{j-2} c_{-j} \equiv q^{m-1} k^* \pmod{p^m}. \quad (6)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_{-2} \dots c_{-m} \neq (q-1)^{m-1}$. Therefore we have an estimate

$$\sum_{j=2}^m p^{m-j} q^{j-2} c_{-j} < p^{m-2} \frac{q-1}{1-q/p} \left(1 - \left(\frac{q}{p} \right)^{m-1} \right) \leq p^{m-1} - q^{m-1}.$$

Thus the left hand side of (6) belongs to $[0, p^m - 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Taking modulo 1 of (5), we have

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p^m}{q^m} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{c_{-1}} \bigcup_{c_{-2}} \dots \bigcup_{c_{-m}} \left[\frac{k_{c_{-1}}}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j}, \frac{k_{c_{-1}}}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right]. \quad (7)$$

Note that

$$\bigcup_{c_{-2}} \dots \bigcup_{c_{-m}} \left[\frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right]$$

is contained in the interval

$$\left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(q-1)q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right].$$

Thus we have

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p^m}{q^m} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_c \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \frac{q-1}{p(p-q)} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \frac{p-1}{p-q} \right].$$

This implies that there exists n_1 so that for any positive ε ,

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_c \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \frac{q-1}{p(p-q)} + \varepsilon \right]$$

holds for $n \geq n_1$. This shows the weaker statement for closed intervals. Consider end points of the intervals of (3). As we may assume that $c_{-2} \dots c_{-m} \neq 0^{m-1}$ or $(q-1)^{m-1}$, we easily see that such end points can not be attained in the above proof. \square

REMARK 2.2. In the above proof, if $q^2 \geq p \geq 2q-1$, then

$$\bigcup_{c_{-2}} \dots \bigcup_{c_{-m}} \left[\frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right]$$

is exactly equal to

$$\left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(q-1)q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right].$$

To see this, we note

$$\frac{q^{m-k-1}}{p^{m-k+1}} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)q^{m-j}}{p^{m-j+2}} \leq \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{(q-1)q^{m-j}}{p^{m-j+2}} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m}.$$

The left inequality follows from $q^2 \geq p$ and the right from $p \geq 2q - 1$.

Following the same proof, we have

THEOREM 2.3. *For an integer k with $p - 1 \leq k(p - q)$, if a real number x has k different p/q -representations then there exists n_0 so that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq c \leq (k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1} \left[\frac{k_c}{p}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \frac{(k-1)q - (k-2)p - 1}{p(p-q)} \right] \quad (8)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$.

It is remarkable that if $p > q^2$, the result becomes better.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $p > q > 1$ with $p > q^2$. Then for any positive ε , there exists a finite union of intervals F with $\mu(F) < \varepsilon$ and a positive real number x has two p/q -representations if and only if there exists n_0 so that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in F \quad (9)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$.

Thus for $p > q^2$ there exists a finite union of intervals F of an arbitrary small size $\mu(F)$ such that $Z_{p/q}^+(F)$ is countably infinite. In the following, we shall prove a stronger result.

A set $X = X(p/q)$ is given as a non empty compact set in \mathbb{R} satisfying an iterated function system:

$$X = \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{qX + j}{p}.$$

It is approximated by a decreasing sequence of sets defined by $X_0 = [0, (q-1)/(p-q)]$ and $X_{k+1} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} (qX_k + j)/p$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. We see $X = \bigcap_k X_k$ and all end points of X_k are in X . As $p > q^2$, $\mu(X) = 0$ follows from the definition. The pieces $(qX + j)/p$ do not overlap, this system gives a

Cantor set in $[0, (q-1)/(p-q)]$ of Hausdorff dimension $\log q / \log(p/q) < 1$ which is positive but tends to zero as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and q is fixed.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $p > q > 1$ with $p > q^2$. Then a positive x has two p/q -representations if and only if there exists n_0 that*

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \right\rangle \in \bigcup_{c=0}^{q-1} \frac{X(p/q) + k_c}{p} \quad (10)$$

for $n \geq n_0$.

As X_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) are finite unions of intervals, Theorem 2.4 follows immediately from Theorem 2.5.

Proof. Since $X(p/q) \subset [0, (q-1)/(p-q)]$, the sufficiency of (10) follows from Theorem 2.1. We show the necessity. It is easily seen that

$$X(p/q) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{-i} \frac{q^i}{p^{i+1}} \mid c_{-i} \in [0, q-1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We proceed in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 2.1. If x admits two p/q -representations, then there exists n_0 such that (7) holds for $mn \geq n_0$. Each element u of

$$\left[\frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j}, \frac{k_c}{p} + \sum_{j=2}^m c_{-j} \frac{q^{j-2}}{p^j} + \frac{q^{m-1}}{p^m} \right]$$

has distance at most q^{m-1}/p^m from the compact set $(X(p/q) + k_c)/p$. As we can choose m large, the distance of the point $\langle x/q(p/q)^n \rangle$ and the compact set $\bigcup_{c=0}^{q-1} (X(p/q) + k_c)/p$ is zero, which proves the theorem. \square

Denominators of end points of X_k are divisors of $(p(p-q))^{k+1}$ which are coprime to q . Thus, as n increases, $\langle x/q(p/q)^n \rangle$ can visit the end points at most once only when x is rational.

Note that if x is a double point, then there exists n_0 such that $\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle = .c_{-1}c_{-2}\dots$ with $c_{-i} \in [0, q-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq n_0$. This already implies that $\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle \in X(p/q)$. We observe that (10) is stronger than this inclusion. Indeed, (10) implies

$$x \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^n \pmod{q} \in \bigcup_c \left(\frac{qX}{p} + \frac{qk_c}{p} \right)$$

and taking modulo 1, we get $\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle \in X(p/q)$ again.

MAHLER'S Z -NUMBER AND $3/2$ NUMBER SYSTEMS

At any rate, it is unexpected that when $p > q^2$ there exists $x > 0$ that the closure of $\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) is contained in the Cantor set $X(p/q)$, a compact set of measure zero. We do not know whether the closure of $K = \{\langle x(p/q)^n \rangle \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ could be of Hausdorff dimension 0. In the other direction, Vijayaraghavan [5] showed that the number of accumulation points of K is infinite but it is not known whether the closure of K could be countable.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. AKIYAMA, S. – FROUGNY, CH. – SAKAROVITCH, J.: *Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems*, Israel J. Math. **168** (2008), 53–91.
- [2] DUBICKAS, A.: *Arithmetical properties of powers of algebraic numbers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), no. 1, 70–80.
- [3] DUBICKAS, A.: *On the distance from a rational power to the nearest integer*, J. Number Theory **117** (2006), no. 1, 222–239.
- [4] MAHLER, K.: *An unsolved problem on the powers of $3/2$* , J. Austral. Math. Soc. **8** (1968), 313–321.
- [5] VIJAYARAGHAVAN, T.: *On the fractional parts of the powers of a number, I*, J. London Math. Soc. **15** (1940), 159–160.

Received June 27, 2008
Accepted February 17, 2009

Shigeki Akiyama
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science Niigaty University
Ikarashi - 28050
Niigata 950-2181
JAPAN
E-mail: akiyama@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp