

# Almost uniform distribution modulo 1 and the distribution of primes <sup>\*†</sup>

Shigeki Akiyama

## Abstract

Let  $(a_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers which is related with number theoretic functions such as  $P_n$ , the  $n$ -th prime. We study the distribution of the fractional parts of  $(a_n)$  using the concept of "almost uniform distribution" defined in [9]. Then we can show a generalization of the results of [2] on the convex property of  $\log P_n$ . The method may be extended as well to other oscillation problems of number theoretical interest.

Let  $(a_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers and  $A(I, (a_n), N)$  be the *counting function*, that is, the number of  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$  that  $\{a_n\}$  is contained in a certain interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ . Here we denote by  $\{a_n\} = a_n - [a_n]$ , the fractional part of  $a_n$ . First we recall a kind of generalization of the classical definition of uniform distribution modulo 1 (see [9], [3] and [8]).

**Definition.** The sequence  $(a_n)$  is said to be *almost uniformly distributed modulo 1* if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and, for every pair of  $a, b$  with  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A([a, b], (a_n), n_j)}{n_j} = b - a.$$

For example, we define  $(c_n)$  by

$$c_n = \frac{n}{2^{1 + [\log_2 n]}}.$$

---

\*11K06, 11N05 uniform distribution, distribution of primes

†Only for the private copy. See Acta Math. Hungar., Vol. 78, (1-2), (1998), 39 – 44, for the exact published version.

Then  $(c_n)$  is almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 but not uniformly distributed modulo 1. It is obvious that if the sequence  $(a_n)$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1, then almost uniformly distributed modulo 1. On the contrary, if

$$n_{j+1} - n_j = o(n_j),$$

then almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 implies uniformly distributed modulo 1. Using the classical method of uniform distribution theory (see e.g. [6]), we can show the following

**Proposition 1.** The sequence  $(a_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that for every real-valued continuous function on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} f(\{a_i\}) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$$

**Proposition 2. (Weyl's Criterion for almost uniformly distributed modulo 1)** The sequence  $(a_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that for every integer  $h$ , we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \exp(2\pi h \sqrt{-1} a_i) = 0.$$

We should mention the next generalization of Fejér's Theorem.

**Theorem 1. (Fejér's Theorem for almost uniformly distributed modulo 1)** Let  $(f(n))$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers and  $\Delta f(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$ . If the following three conditions is satisfied, then  $(f(n))$  is almost uniformly distributed modulo 1:

1. There exists a natural number  $N$  that  $\Delta f(n)$  is monotone when  $n \geq N$  (hereafter, we say this property as *ultimately monotone*),
2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta f(n) = 0$ ,
3.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |\Delta f(n)| = \infty$ .

Note that the corresponding third condition for uniformly distributed modulo 1 is:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n|\Delta f(n)| = \infty.$$

Moreover, it is shown in [4] (see also [5]) that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n|\Delta f(n)| = \infty$  is a necessary condition for uniformly distributed modulo 1. Concerning this fact, in [3], it is shown that  $(\log n)$  is not almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 but almost uniformly distributed modulo 1 in the "average" sense. It is an interesting problem to study this delicate difference between uniformly distributed modulo 1 and almost uniformly distributed modulo 1. We can show the following:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(g(n))$  be a sequence of real numbers which satisfies three conditions:

(C1)  $g(n) = o(n)$ ,

(C2) The average  $f(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(k)$  is *not* almost uniformly distributed modulo 1,

(C3)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(n) - g(n+1)| = \infty$ .

Then  $\Delta^2 f(n)$  changes its sign infinitely many times. Here  $\Delta^2 f(n) = \Delta(\Delta f(n))$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(n) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(k) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} g(n+1) - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \sum_{k=1}^n g(k). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta f(n) = 0$ . And by (1),

$$(n+1)\Delta f(n) = g(n+1) - f(n).$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n|\Delta f(n)| = \infty.$$

If  $\Delta f(n)$  is ultimately monotone, then  $f(n)$  is almost uniformly distributed modulo 1, which contradicts the assumption.  $\square$

Let  $P_n$  be the  $n$ -th prime. Now we show

**Theorem 2.**  $(\log P_n)$  is not almost uniformly distributed modulo 1.

*Proof.* Let  $t$  be a real number and  $\pi(x)$  be the number of primes less than or equal to  $x$ . Consider the sum over primes  $p$ :

$$\sum_{p \leq N} p^{\sqrt{-1}t} = \int_{3/2}^N x^{\sqrt{-1}t} d\pi(x).$$

Integrating by parts of the right hand side, by using the prime number theorem of the form:

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq N} p^{\sqrt{-1}t} &= \frac{N^{1+\sqrt{-1}t}}{\log N} - \sqrt{-1}t \int_{3/2}^N \frac{x^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{\log x} dx + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^2 N}\right) \\ &= \frac{N^{1+\sqrt{-1}t}}{(1 + \sqrt{-1}t) \log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^2 N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see

$$\frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} e^{\sqrt{-1}t \log p} \sim \frac{N^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{1 + \sqrt{-1}t}$$

The right hand side is not zero. By using Proposition 2, we get the result.  $\square$

Now we give a very different proof of the results of [2].

**Theorem 3.**  $\Delta^2 \log P_n$  changes its sign infinitely many times.

*Proof.* Let  $g(n) = n \log P_n - (n-1) \log P_{n-1}$  and  $f(n) = \log P_n$  in Corollary 1. (Here we put  $P_0 = 1$  for example.) By using Theorem 2, it suffice to show (C1) and (C3). By using prime number theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) &\leq n \frac{P_n - P_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} + \log P_{n-1}, \\ &= o(n). \end{aligned}$$

For the condition (C3),

$$\begin{aligned} g(n+1) - f(n) &= (n+1)(\log P_{n+1} - \log P_n) \\ &> \frac{(n+1)(P_{n+1} - P_n)}{P_{n+1}} \\ &\sim \frac{P_{n+1} - P_n}{\log P_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we write  $f \sim g$  if  $|f/g| \rightarrow 1$ . P. Erdős [1] was the first to obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n+1} - P_n}{\log P_n} = \infty,$$

by showing

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(P_{n+1} - P_n)(\log \log \log P_n)^2}{\log P_n \log \log P_n \log \log \log P_n} > c > 0.$$

About the improvement of the constant  $c$ , see [7]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Our method to show this type of results can be generalized by a kind of "linearity" in many cases. To explain this, we notice

**Theorem 4.** Let  $l$  be a fixed positive integer, and  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) be the real numbers with  $\sum C_i \neq 0$ . The sequence  $(\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i \log P_{n+i})$  is not almost uniformly distributed modulo 1.

*Proof.* First, we consider the case  $(C \log P_n)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $C > 0$ . Then we write  $C \log P_n = \log_b P_n$  with a constant  $b > 1$ . To see the assertion, replace  $e$  with  $b$  in the proof of Theorem 2.

If  $l > 1$ , it suffice to note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i \log P_{n+i} - \log P_n \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i = o(1).$$

This shows the assertion.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $l$  be a fixed positive integer, and  $f_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) be the positive real numbers. Then

$$\Delta^2 \log(P_n^{f_1} P_{n+1}^{f_2} \cdots P_{n+l-1}^{f_l})$$

changes its sign infinitely many times.

*Proof.* Put

$$g(n) = n \left( \sum_{i=1}^l f_i \log P_{n+i-1} \right) - (n-1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^l f_i \log P_{n+i-2} \right)$$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i \log P_{n+i-1}.$$

By using Corollary 1 and Theorem 4, in a similar manner as in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the assertion. Here, we essentially used the positiveness of  $f_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) in proving (C3).  $\square$

We expect that the conditions  $f_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) can be dropped.

Our method is applicable to a lot of arithmetic functions  $g(n)$  such that  $1/n \sum_{k \leq n} g(k)$  is not almost uniformly distributed modulo 1. For example, we can show similar assertions for the divisor function  $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$  as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n d(k) = \log n + (2\gamma - 1) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

with the Euler constant  $\gamma$ . The proof for this case is easier, but the results do not seem well worthy of stating here.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for a considerable simplification of the proof of Theorem 2. The author is very grateful to Prof. T. Kano for carefully reading the original manuscript and giving helpful comments. He also informed me that the sophisticated generalization of Theorem 2 was found recently by Prof. K. Goto.

## References

- [1] P. Erdős, On the difference of consecutive primes., *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* **6**, (1935) 124-128.
- [2] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On some new question on the distribution of prime numbers., *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54**, (1948) 371-378.
- [3] J. Chauvineau, Sur la répartition dans  $\mathbf{R}$  et dans  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ., *Acta Arith.* **14**, (1968) 225-313
- [4] T. Kano, Criteria for uniform and weighted uniform distribution mod 1., *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **20**, (1971) 83-91.
- [5] P. B. Kennedy, A note on uniformly distributed sequences., *Quart. J. Math. (2)* **7**, (1959) 125-127.
- [6] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, Uniform distribution of sequences. , *Pure and Applied Math.*, John Wiley & Sons, (1974)
- [7] R. A. Rankin, The difference between consecutive prime numbers. V., *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **13** (1962/63) 331-332.
- [8] I. Z., Ruzsa, On the uniform and almost uniform distribution of  $a_n x \bmod 1$ ., *Seminar on number theory, 1982-1983 (Talence)*, Exp. No.20 21pp.

- [9] I. I. Šapiro-Pyateckii, On a generalization of the notion of uniform distribution of fractional parts., Mat. Sbornik N.S. **30**, (1952) 669-676.

Shigeki Akiyama

Faculty of Science  
Niigata University  
Niigata, 950-21  
JAPAN

akiyama@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp